### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	n the ERNATIONAL SEA	ARCHING AUTH	IORITY	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
То	:				PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
	-			(	PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)		
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	elicant's or agent's file of form PCT/ISA/2			.1	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
PC	mational application T/GB2004/00265	59	International filing date 21.06.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 19.06.2003		
	mational Patent Clas 1K31/4425, A61h		both national classification	n and IPC			
	licant ERDEEN UNIVE	ERSITÝ					
L							
·1.	This opinion or	ontains indication	ons relating to the fo	llowing items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion	W.			
	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishm	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	•			
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; cit	ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> ations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to as supporting such state	novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
	Box No. VI	Certain docume	3				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international ap	plication	A company of the second		
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	ations on the internatio	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON					
	written opinion of the applicant cho	f the Internationa coses an Authorit eau under Rule 6	I Preliminary Examining other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA"). H	usually be considered to be a owever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the ional Searching Authority		
	submit to the IPE	A a written reply date of mailing o	together, where appro	priate, with amendmen	PEA, the applicant is invited to its, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,		
•	For further option	s, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.	• •			
3.	For further details	s, see notes to Fo	orm PCT/ISA/220.	BEST A	VAILABLE COPY		
					·		
Name	and mailing addres	s of the ISA:		Authorized Officer			
	<del></del>				Service Control of the Control of th		



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Friederich, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7860



### IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 DEC 2005

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002659:

_		4				
_	Box No. I Basis of the opinion		*			
1.	. With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opini the language in which it was filed, unle	on has be ss otherwi	en establishe se indicated	ed on the basis of under this item.	the international appl	ication in
	☐ This opinion has been established language , which is the language (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	on the ba	sis of a trans slation furnis	lation from the ori hed for the purpos	ginal language into th ses of international se	e following arch :
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or a necessary to the claimed invention, this	<b>imino aci</b> opinion h	<b>d sequence</b> las been esta	disclosed in the in ablished on the ba	nternational applications is of:	n and:
	a. type of material:	٠.				
	☐ a sequence listing	,				
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence	listing		•		•
	b. format of material:		÷			
	☐ in written format	•				
	☐ in computer readable form			,		
	c. time of filing/furnishing:	••				
	$\square$ contained in the international ap	plication a	ıs filed.			
	☐ filed together with the internation	nal applica	ition in comp	uter readable form	١.	
	☐ furnished subsequently to this A	uthority fo	r the purpos	es of search.		
3.	☐ In addition, in the case that more the has been filed or furnished, the requestion copies is identical to that in the appropriate, were furnished.	uired state	ements that the	ne information in t	he subsequent or add	litional
<b>1</b> .	Additional comments:	•	• •			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002659

			. • • •	•
_				.,
_	Box No. II	Priority		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.	. ☑ ∶The fo	llowing document	has not been furnished:	
	⊠	copy of the earlie	er application whose prior	rity has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the	earlier application whos	e priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Conse nevert	quently it has not heless been estat	been possible to conside dished on the assumption	r the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has n that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.	has be	en found invalid (	stablished as if no priority Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). re is considered to be the	had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international relevant date.
3.	was no	t available to the	ISA at the time that the s	the priority claim because a copy of the priority document earch was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Additional of	bservations, if ne	cessary:	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002659

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
			ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:			
	ithe entire international application,					
Ø	iclaims Nos. 1-11 and 21-29 with respect to industrial applicability					
be	cause:					
Ø	I the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-11 and 21-29 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
,	see separate sheet		and the property of the second			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
×	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further	detail	ls .			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002659

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis.*1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

1-29

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-29

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

12-2

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

- Certain published documents (Rules 43bis 1 and 70.10) and /or
- 2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.9) see form 210

## IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 DEC 2009

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002659

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 1-11 and 21-29 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Assuming a valid priority of the present application, the P-documents cited in the International search report are not dealt with during the PCT-procedure.

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: SCOTT R H ET AL: "Analysis of the structure and electrophysiological actions of halitoxins: 1,3 alkyl-pyridinium salts from Callyspongia ridleyi" JOURNAL OF MEMBRANE BIOLOGY 2000 UNITED STATES, vol. 176, no. 2, 2000, pages 119-131, XP002301208 ISSN: 0022-2631
- D2: VAN DER WOUDE I ET AL: "Novel pyridinium surfactants for efficient, nontoxic in vitro gene delivery" PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 1997 UNITED STATES, vol. 94, no. 4, 1997, pages 1160-1165, XP002179111 ISSN: 0027-8424

If not indicated otherwise, the relevant passages are those mentioned in the International search report.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002659

It is clear from the description (see figure 1 b and c and figure 5 a, b and e) that the following features are essential to the definition of the invention (i.e. to obtain a <u>reversible</u> pore formation):

- (1) the molecular weight (see claim 5), and
- (2) the concentration of the sponge toxin (see claim 6):

Furthermore it should be clarified that the present application deals with the formation of pores in <u>cell</u> membranes.

Since independent claims 1, 8, 12 and 21 do not contain these features they do not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

Art. 33(2) The present application meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-29 appears to be new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

None of the cited documents discloses the use of sponge toxins for transient membrane pore formation.

Art. 33(3) The subject-matter of claims 1-29 is not considered to involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

D1 discloses the use of halitoxin for the formation of irreversible membrane pores, from which the subject-matter of the present application differs in that reversible pores are formed.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to provide a method for reversible/transient pore formation.

The present application suggests to solve the problem posed by using smaller concentrations of halitoxin.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002659

Yet, it is known from the teaching of D2 that pyridinium compounds are suitable for efficient transfection by forming membrane pores.

Taking into account the teaching of the cited prior art the following reasoning applies:

With respect to the subject-matter of the remaining claims 1-29 the applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that there seems to be no basis for inventive step within the present application as filed since no evidence can be found that the features which are novel result in a solution of the posed problem which could not have been foreseen by the skilled person.

Optimizations with respect to amounts only are prima facie obvious.

It is therefore noted, that the solution proposed in **claims 1-29** of the present application is not considered to be inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Art. 33(4) The subject-matter of claims 12-20 is considered to be industrially applicable in the sense of Art. 33(4) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 1-11 and 21-29 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.